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Integrating Detectors: X-ray Film

X-ray film is actually a photon counter, in that each absorbed x-ray renders one grain of film developable. The individual grains are visible in this magnification of a protein Bragg spot. (Scale bar = 100 microns)

The practical difficulty of using film as a photon counter is in counting the grains. For this reason, it is always used as an integrator, where the determination of cumulative darkened film grains is made by measuring the optical density of the developed film.

**Advantages:**
- Film has excellent resolution.
- Inexpensive.
- Very long exposures are possible with negligible “dark current” accumulation.

**Disadvantages:**
- Film has a high noise of about $10^7$ “fog” grains per cm$^2$. These are nearly Poisson distributed, so the noise is $\sqrt{10^7} \approx 3000$. The stopping power of x-ray film for 8 keV x-rays is about 0.6. So one needs about $3000/0.6=5000$ x-rays/cm$^2$ just to match the fluctuation in the fog, e.g., $S/N=1$. Hence, film is an insensitive detector. It is advantageous to place film close to the specimen to concentrate the signal over as small an area as practical.
- Film has relatively low stopping power.
- Film ages and the ultimate signal it is sensitive to the exact development procedure.
- The optical density of the film is linear with the dose only over about a factor of 100. Basically, film is non-linear when the density of developed grains is high enough that they start shadowing one another.
- Film requires a slow, messy “off-line” development process and a complex optical density scanner, making its use very cumbersome.
X-ray Film

In summary, film is most useful for measurements that take a very long time, which involve a relatively high signal dose into a small area (small, sharp reflections), and where qualitative info is needed. An example where film is very useful is x-ray topography, where there are lots of photons and micron resolution is needed. The only problem is that x-ray film is going extinct.
Image Plates = Storage Phosphors

Certain materials, notably BaFBr:Eu$^{+2}$, have energy levels below the conduction band which can be populated when x-rays de-excite in the material. These levels cannot de-excite to lower levels and are sufficiently below the conduction band that thermal excitation to the conduction band is very unlikely. Photoexcitation, e.g., by a red laser, into the conduction band then allow de-excitation with the emission of a blue photon. This is photostimulated luminescence. A phototube covered with a filter to only pass blue photons then can sense the magnitude of photostimulated luminescence without sensing scattered red laser photons.

An intense flood of light is used to totally photostimulate the plate, thereby erasing it.


Comparison: Film, Image Plates, I-CCD

1. Use 100 mCi Fe-55 source for absolute stability.
2. Observe that 1 Optical Density (OD) in film absorbs 10% of Fe-55 x-rays by silver absorption.
3. Use precise, electro-formed metal mask of grid of 300 micron holes to make a photographic mask in normal photographic film.
4. Set x-ray contrast by OD of spots and OD of surround. This allows slight (< 20%) Fe-55 contrast.

# Comparison: Film, Image Plates, I-CCD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Film</th>
<th>IP</th>
<th>I-CCD</th>
<th>Film</th>
<th>IP</th>
<th>I-CCD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exposure, s</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contrast, %</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signal, x-ray/spot</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>2,900</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>2,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spot dia, microns</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bkgnd, x-ray/spot</td>
<td>18,000</td>
<td>18,000</td>
<td>18,000</td>
<td>48,000</td>
<td>190,000</td>
<td>48,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gain, ADU/x-ray</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System noise, x-ray/pix</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measured signal accuracy, %</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poisson limiting accuracy, %</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DQE</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Comparison: Film, Image Plates, I-CCD

Film, 6% contrast, 500s, smoothed

I-CCD, 6% contrast, 500s

IP, 6% contrast, 1800s

Incident quantum statistics of pedestal

Film, 11% contrast, 200s, smoothed

Image Plates

IPs have good stopping power to the Br K edge (13.47 keV). The linearity of response vs. x-ray energy needs to be calibrated above for higher energies. This is important, for example, in Laue protein crystallography.

![Graph showing IP response vs. x-ray energy](image)

**Fig. 7.** Dependence of the IP response as a function of the energy of an X-ray photon. (i) is the IP response per incident X-ray photon, and (a) the IP response per absorbed X-ray photon. The unit of the ordinate corresponds to the background noise level of the IP scanner.

Image Plates

IPs have a very wide inherent dynamic range because of the very large number of photostimulatable centers per unit phosphor volume. However, the practical dynamic range is always limited by the dynamic range of the photosensing system, which runs from 8 to 14 bits in most systems. A wider working range of, say 1% accuracy over 6 decades of intensity can be obtained by rereading the IP.

Fig. 6. Measured dynamic range of the photostimulated luminescence of the imaging plate with two different X-ray energies. The dynamic range of typical high-sensitivity X-ray film is also shown. OD, Optical density.

Image Plates

IPs suffer from many systematic effects which ultimately limit the real accuracy and real dynamic range, as may seen from this experiment:

**GaAs multilayer Laue Diffraction**

Image Plate Summary

IPs are good detectors for measurement to ~1% accuracy over a wide span.

**Advantages:**
- Wide working span, especially if plates are multiply scanned.
- Available in large areas, many pixels across.
- Good sensitivity for most experiments, though hardly quantum limited.
- Affordable.
- Good stopping power.

**Disadvantages:**
- IP readers are slow and have many moving parts, leading to calibration drift.
- Single photon sensitivity is usually not possible.
- The real accuracy rarely exceeds 1%.
- IPs are difficult to erase and exhibit systematics, so background subtraction is difficult.
- The resolution of the IP is limited. Many systems have flare in low-level PSF.

CCD Detector Introduction to Phosphors

Note: In 1999 I was asked to prepare a personal, historical perspective of CCD detectors as part of an American Crystallographic Association symposium – see Gruner, *Trans Amer, Cryst. Assoc.* 34:11. It gives a sense of the time and difficulty needed to develop a new detector technology, as well as an opinion of the sociology of the field.


The Quantum Optical Chain
In area detector made up of electro-optical elements typically consists of a chain of elements which convert the x-rays to more readily manipulated quanta, and a possible gain element to increase the number of quanta/x-ray to better compete with noise in the final image sensing element:

Quantum Detector Chain

For example, the energy converter may be a thin, phosphor screen, the luminescence of which is amplified by an image intensifier viewed by a CCD image sensor. Here,

- \( N_p \) = the number of quanta/x-ray
- \( C_{PI} \) = fraction of \( N_p \) conveyed to the gain element
- \( G \) = gain
- \( C_{IS} \) = fraction of amplified quanta conveyed to image sensor of quantum efficiency \( Q_S \).

This works for practically any x-ray detector, even if one or another element is absent. So, for example, CCDs are directly x-ray sensitive. In this case, \( C_{PI} = G = C_{IS} = 1 \).
Quantum Detector Chain

Let’s try this with an x-ray detector made at Princeton University by George Reynolds in 1968:

\[ N_P \times C_{PL} \times G \times C_{IS} \times Q_S = N_S \]

200 \times 0.3 \times 40,000 \times 0.027 \times 1/200 = 300
George found that each x-ray led to 300 developed film grains, as opposed to 1 grain/x-ray in x-ray film. He verified that the detector could record diffraction patterns about 300 times faster than x-ray film.

The history of x-ray detectors based on electro-optical sensors (e.g., TV cameras and CCDs) is a progression of detectors in which the various essential elements of phosphor screen, optical coupling techniques, image intensifiers and sensors were progressively replaced with better components as better components became available. The purpose of the gain element was to pump up the signal per x-ray to exceed the noise in the sensor.

CCD detectors matured when CCDs and coupling methods became good enough that the gain element could be eliminated while still preserving near unity DQE. At that point, the many detector configurations which had been tried collapsed into the canonical design which now accounts for most CCD detectors, namely, a thin phosphor screen coupled to a tapered fiber optic bundle which is, in turn, coupled to a cooled, low-noise CCD.

The goal is typically to maximize the dynamic range while preserving near-photon limited (DQE=1) imaging.
Quantum Detector Chain for CCDs

Diagram:

- X-ray beam
- Crystal
- Phosphor
- F.O. taper
- Cooled CCD
- Beam stop
- 2θ
- To electronics

Arrow flow:

1. X-ray
2. Phosphor
3. N quanta conveyed to CCD
4. Quantum efficiency Q_x
5. Noise σ
6. Optical
7. Signal NQ in presence of noise σ
8. Ideally, NQ > σ
9. But to maximize dynamic range, NQ ∼ σ
## Desirable Detector Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Film</th>
<th>Wire</th>
<th>IP</th>
<th>CCD*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Robust, Stable, Convenient</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High DQE at Low Dose</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adequate Area</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intensity Linearity</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dose Linearity</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adequate Spatial Resolution</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geometric Linearity</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stopping Power</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Count-rate</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Read-out Time</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flat-field Response</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Long Integrations</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wide Span</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Cost</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Phosphor-coupled CCD detectors.
Many CCD Detector Configurations are Possible
It Comes Down to Details

Performance depends on the details of the essential parts of the CCD system:
- Phosphor
- Fiber Optics
- CCD
- Control Electronics
- Calibrations
- Software

Let’s start with phosphors

Important Characteristics:
1. Efficient conversion of x-ray energy to light.
2. Spectral match to FO-taper & CCD.
3. Prompt light emission w/low persistence.
4. Linear w/dose & intensity.
5. High stopping power.
6. Robust & stable.
7. High spatial resolution.

No single phosphor has best of all of these.
Efficiency

Fraction of stopped x-ray energy emitted as light: \( n = \frac{h \nu_e}{E} \), where
\( h = \) Planck’s constant
\( \nu_e = \) averaged frequency of luminescent radiation
\( E = \) average energy required to create an electron-hole pair. (Varies from about 3 times the band gap for NaI and CsI to \( \sim 7 \) for CaWO\(_4\); depends on band gap, high frequency & static dielectric constants and frequency of longitudinal optical vibration mode. High efficiencies result if the optical vibrational modes are of low frequency and the emission energy is close to the band gap energy.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phosphor</th>
<th>Theoretical</th>
<th>Measured</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CaWO(_4)</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnS : Ag</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd(_2)O(_2)S : Tb</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Zn,Cd)Se</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: Robbins, Bruker-Axe

Spectral matching determines overall efficiency.
- Fiber optics are about 5% more efficient in the red than the blue because of the wavelength dependence of the indices of refraction of the fiber parts.
- The quantum efficiency of CCDs typically peaks at 30 – 40% in the red. The losses are mostly due to absorption in the CCD gate over-structures in normal front-illuminated CCDs. If special back-illuminated CCDs (or new Kodak chips) are used, QE’s can rise to 80%, even towards the blue.
Efficiency

For normal front illuminated CCDs, a typical QE curve and phosphor emission curves are below. The curves aren’t scaled to one another; ignore the relative heights:

**Spectral matching of phosphor emission to CCD sensitivity**

\[ \text{Gd}_2\text{O}_2\text{S}:\text{Tb} < \text{CsI:Tl} < (\text{Zn, Cd})\text{Se} \]
The decay time of the light emission is the biggest weakness of most phosphors. Ideally, the light should decay exponentially over many decades of intensity with a very rapid time constant. Typically, the decay constant is msec and the decay goes from exponential to algebraic after only a few decades. Algebraic decays can go on for minutes! The behavior is very complex:

**RbhdI – Zn$_2$SiO$_4$:Mn (0.3)**

Phosphor Persistence and Lag

J-P Moy characterized the decay of several phosphors for the ESRF:

J-P Moy, Time Response of Phosphors, ESRF, 1992
Phosphor Persistence and Lag

Characterizing the time decay of a phosphor over many decades of intensity is difficult. One way is to put on an intense x-ray spot, rapidly gate it off and graph the fall of intensity across the spot vs. time. A CCD detector set up for 1-dimensional line shifting (discussed below) is ideal for this purpose. See also, Gruner et al, 1993, *Proc. SPIE* 2009: 98; Shepherd et al, 1995, *Proc SPIE* 2519 : 24.
Stopping Power

Stopping power is another major consideration.

@ CuKα:
(Zn,Cd)Se < CsI:TI < Gd₂O₂S:Tb

@MoKα:
All about equal in stopping pwr
Phosphor Summary

The following table summarizes the characteristics of several popular x-ray phosphors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CsI:Tl</th>
<th>Gd$_2$O$_2$S:Tb</th>
<th>La$_2$O$_2$S:Eu</th>
<th>(Zn,Cd)Se</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Robustness</td>
<td>Hydroscopic</td>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>Stable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stopping Power</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>medium</td>
<td>med. – Cu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>high – Mo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>very high</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed</td>
<td>fast</td>
<td>slow</td>
<td>slow</td>
<td>fast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persistence</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>medium</td>
<td>low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spectrum</td>
<td>green</td>
<td>green</td>
<td>red</td>
<td>red</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: CsI:Tl can be grown into columns; other phosphors are settled powders.