Quasielastic Neutron Scattering



Ken Herwig

Deputy Director
Neutron Facilities Development Division
Oak Ridge National Laboratory

June 14, 2011

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY
MANAGED BY UT-BATTELLE FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

OUTLINE

- Background – the incoherent scattering cross section of H
- Neutrons and QENS
- Experiment Design
- Connection to Molecular Dynamics Simulations
- The Elastic Incoherent Structure Factor (EISF)
- The Role of Instrumentation
- Restricted Diffusion Example – Tethered Molecules
- References and Summary

Incoherent and Coherent Scattering

- Origin – incoherent scattering arises when there is a random variability in the scattering lengths of atoms in your sample – can arise from the presence of different isotopes or from isotopes with non-zero nuclear spin combined with variation in the relative orientation of the neutron spin with the nuclear spin of the scattering center
- Coherent scattering – gives information on spatial correlations and collective motion.
  - Elastic: Where are the atoms? What are the shape of objects?
  - Inelastic: What is the excitation spectrum in crystalline materials – e.g. phonons?
- Incoherent scattering – gives information on single-particles.
  - Elastic: Debye-Waller factor, # H-atoms in sample, Elastic Incoherent Structure Factor – geometry of diffusive motion (continuous, jump, rotations)
  - Inelastic: diffusive dynamics, diffusion coefficients.
- Good basic discussion:
  - "Methods of x-ray and neutron scattering in polymer science", R.-J. Roe, Oxford University Press. (available)
  - "Theory of Thermal Neutron Scattering", W. Marshall and S. W. Lovesey, Oxford University Press (1971). (out of print)

Neutron Properties – H is our friend!



- Isotopic sensitivity of H
  - H has a large incoherent neutron scattering cross-section
  - H and D have opposite signed scattering lengths
  - Uncharged – penetrates deeply into materials
  - unpaired electrons in matter (dipole-dipole scattering)

Quasi-elastic Neutron Scattering (Why Should I Care?)

- Applicable to wide range of science areas
  - Biology – dynamic transition in proteins, hydration water
  - Chemistry – complex fluids, ionic liquids, porous media, surface interactions, water at interfaces, clays
  - Materials science – hydrogen storage, fuel cells, polymers
- Probes true "diffusive" motions
- Range of analytic function models
  - Useful for systematic comparisons
- Close ties to theory – particularly Molecular Dynamics simulations
- Complementary
  - Light spectroscopy, NMR, dielectric relaxation
- Unique – Answers Questions you cannot address with other methods

Neutrons AND (QENS OR quasi-elastic OR quasielastic)



A Neutron Experiment



$$k = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda}$$

$$\text{Energy} = E = \frac{(\hbar k)^2}{2m_n}$$

$$\vec{Q} = \vec{k}_i - \vec{k}_f$$

$$\text{Energy Transfer} = \hbar\omega = E_i - E_f$$

Measure scattered neutrons as a function of Q and ω –> $S(Q,\omega)$.

$\omega = 0$ –> elastic

$\omega \neq 0$ –> inelastic

ω near 0 –> quasielastic

Quasi-Elastic Neutron Scattering





- Neutron exchanges small amount of energy with atoms in the sample
- Harmonic motions look like flat background
- Vibrations are often treated as Inelastic Debye-Waller Factor
- Maximum of intensity is always at $\omega = 0$
- Samples the component of motion along $\vec{Q}$
- Low-Q – typically less than 5 $Å^{-1}$



Experiment Design

- σ is the microscopic cross section (bn/atom) 10^{-24} cm^2
- n is the number density (atom/cm^3)
- Σ is the macroscopic cross-section (cm^{-1})

$$\Sigma = n\sigma$$

The transmission, T, depends on sample thickness, t, as:

$$T = \exp(-\Sigma t)$$

- Good rule of thumb is $T = 0.9$

5 – 15 mmole H-atoms for 10 cm^2 beam (BaSiS, HFBS, CNCS, DCS)

An Example – Water

$$n = \frac{1\,\text{gm}}{\text{cm}^3} \times \frac{1\,\text{mole}}{18\text{gm}} \times \frac{6.02\times10^{23}}{\text{mole}} = \frac{3.34\times10^{22}}{\text{cm}^3}$$

$$\sigma = 2\times80\,10^{-24}\ \text{cm}^2$$

$$\Sigma = \sigma n = \frac{5.34}{\text{cm}}$$

$$\text{sample thickness} = t = \frac{-\ln(0.9)}{5.34} = 0.2\ \text{mm}$$

QENS Spectra



Incoherent Intermediate Scattering Function, $S(Q,\omega)$, and Molecular Dynamics Simulations

- Intermediate Scattering Function
  - time dependent correlation function
  - incoherent scattering –> no pair correlations, self-correlation function
  - calculable from atomic coordinates in a Molecular Dynamics Simulation

$$I_{inc}(\mathbf{Q},t)=\frac{1}{N}\sum_i\left\langle \exp\{i\mathbf{Q}\bullet\mathbf{R}_i(t)\}\exp\{-i\mathbf{Q}\bullet\mathbf{R}_i(0)\}\right\rangle$$

  - $S_{inc}(Q,\omega)$ – the Fourier transform of $I_{inc}(Q,t)$

$$S_{inc}(\mathbf{Q},\omega)=\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}I_{inc}(\mathbf{Q},t))\exp(-i\omega t)dt$$

QENS and Molecular Dynamics Simulations

- Same atomic coordinates used in classical MD are all that is needed to calculate $I_{inc}(Q,t)$



1,3 diphenylpropane tethered to the pore surface of MCM-41



The Elastic Incoherent Structure Factor (EISF)

- A particle (H-atom) moves out of volume defined by $2\pi/Q$ in a time shorter than set by the reciprocal of the instrument sensitivity, $d\omega$(meV) – gives rise to quasielastic broadening.
- The EISF is essentially the probability that a particle can be found in the same volume of space at some subsequent time.
- The ratio of the Elastic Intensity to the total Intensity



QENS and Neutron Scattering Instruments

- Probe Diffusive Motions
  - Length scales set by Q, 0.1 $Å^{-1}$ < Q < 3.7 $Å^{-1}$, 60 Å > d > 1.7 Å.
  - Time scales set by the width of instrument energy resolution, typically at least 0.1 meV (fwhm) but higher resolution -> longer times/slower motion
- Energy transfers ~ ± 2 meV (or less)
  - High resolution requirements emphasizes use of cold neutrons (but long λ limits Q)
  - Incident neutron wavelengths typically 4 Å to 12 Å (5.1 meV to 0.6 meV)
- Why a variety of instruments? (Resolutions vary from 1 μeV to100 μeV)
  - Terms in the resolution add in quadrature – typically primary spectrometer (before sample), secondary spectrometer (after the sample)
  - Improvement in each resolution term cost linearly in neutron flux (ideally)
  - Optimized instrument has primary and secondary spectrometer contributions approximately equal
  - Factor of 2 gain in resolution costs at a minimum a factor of 4 in flux

Role of Instrumentation

- Currently about 25 neutron scattering instruments in the world useful for QNS (approximately 5 in the U. S.)
- U.S. instruments – Opportunity is Good- Competition is Strong
  - NIST Center for Neutron Research
    - Disc Chopper Spectrometer
    - High Flux Backscattering Spectrometer
    - Neutron Spin Echo
  - Lujan – Los Alamos National Laboratory
    - Rebuild of QENS instrument from IPNS
  - Spallation Neutron Source
    - BaSiS – near backscattering spectrometer (3 μeV)
    - Cold Neutron Chopper Spectrometer (CNCS) (10 – 100 μeV)
    - Neutron Spin Echo (t to 1-2 μsec)
- Trade-offs
  - Resolution/count rate
  - Flexibility
  - Dynamic range
  - Neutron λ vs Q
    - large λ –> high resolution -> long times/slow motions
    - large λ –> limited Q-range, limited length scales

The High-Resolution Neutron Spectrometer Landscape



● Backscattering

● Cold Neutron Chopper

● Neutron Spin Echo

BaSiS - SNS Near Backscattering Spectrometer

Restricted Diffusion – Tethered Molecules



MCM-41 (2.9 nm pore diameter)
high DPP coverage

Samples – typical 0.7 g

240 K < T < 340 K

Simple Fit – Lorentzian + δ

Pore Radius (nm)	Coverage (molecules/nm^2)
1.63	0.85 (saturation)
2.12	1.04 (saturation)
2.96	0.60 0.75 1.61 (saturation)



Elastic Scans – Fixed Window Scans

Coverage Dependence



$$I(Q) = I(0)\exp\left(-Q^2\left\langle u^2\right\rangle/3\right)$$

Onset of diffusive and anharmonic motion

Pore Size Dependence



What if I don't have Molecular Dynamics or other Theory? Simple Analytical Model – e.g. Diffusion in a Sphere



$$S_s(Q,\omega,r,D) = A_0^0(Qr)\delta(\omega) + \frac{1}{\pi}\sum_{(l.n)\neq(0,0)}(2l+1)A_n^l(Qr)\frac{\left(x_n^l\right)^2 D / r^2}{\left[\left(x_n^l\right)^2 D / r^2\right]^2 + \omega^2}$$

$$\text{EISF:}\quad A_0^0(Q) = \left[\frac{3j_1(Qr)}{Qr}\right]^2$$

Volino and Dianoux, Mol. Phys. **41**, 271-279 (1980).

Extend to a Sum over Spheres of Varying Size (15 H-atoms)



DPP

$$R_i = R_{\max} \times \frac{l_i}{l_{natom}}$$

$$S_{DPP}(Q,\omega) = \sum_{i=1}^{15} S_s(Q,\omega,R_i,D_i)$$

$$EISF(Q) = (1-f_m) + f_m \times \frac{1}{15}\sum_{i=1}^{15}\left[\frac{3j_1(QR_i)}{QR_i}\right]^2$$

Fits to Data

$$S(Q,\omega) = A(Q)\delta(\omega) + (1-A(Q)) \times Lorentzian$$

$$EISF(Q) = A(Q)$$

Fit to data (HFBS – NCNR) 29.6 Å diameter pore, 320 K, Q = 1 Å^{-1}



EISF – 29.6 Å radius DPP sample, saturation



Non-zero asymptote implies immobile H-atoms *(on the time scale of this instrument)*

29.6 Å radius DPP sample, saturation



Lorentzian Γ(Q)



Non-zero intercept
Implies restricted/confined diffusion

DPP – 29.6 Å diameter pores – 370 K (BaSiS - SNS) – Beyond the EISF – Fitting the Model to the Full Data Set



Detailed Fits



DPP



PPE3

Additional H-bond interaction

$$S_{DPP}(Q,\omega) = \sum_{i=1}^{15} S_s(Q,\omega,R_i,D_i)$$

$$R_i = R_{\max} \times \frac{l_i}{l_{natom}}$$



Example 2: Dendrimers – Colloidal Polymer – pH responsive



Dendrimers bind to receptors on HIV virus preventing infection of T cells. Sharpharpm C & E News 83, 30 (2005)





"Trojan horse" – folic acid adsorbed by cancer cell delivering the anti-cancer drug as well
James R. Baker Jr., Univ. of Michigan Health Sciences Press Release

SANS Results – Global Size Constant, Redistribution of Mass

Samples: 0.05 gm protonated dendrimer in 1 ml deuterated solvent



Molecular Dynamics Simulations

Basic

Acidic





High pH **Neutral pH** **Low pH**

pH decreases

Dense Core → **Dense Shell**

Methodology

- Determine center-of-mass translational motion with pulsed field-gradient spin echo NMR
  - Could have been determined directly from QENS measurement but this tied down parameter set
- Measure (dendrimer + deuterated solvent) – (deuterated solvent) -> dendrimer signal
- Vary pH to charge dendrimer amines (α = 0 (uncharged), α = 1 (primary amines charged), α = 2 (fully charged))

$$S(Q,\omega) = S_{\text{int}}(Q,\omega) \otimes S_{COM}(Q,\omega)$$

modeled localized internal motion as

$$S_{\text{int}}(Q,\omega) = A_0^0(Qr)\delta(\omega) + \frac{1}{\pi}\sum_{(l.n)\neq(0,0)}(2l+1)A_n^l(Qr)\frac{\left(x_n^l\right)^2 D \Big/ r^2}{\left[\left(x_n^l\right)^2 D \Big/ r^2\right]^2 + \omega^2}$$

Localized Motion of Dendrimer Arms



Localized motion modeled as Diffusion in a Sphere

X. Li, et al, Soft Matter 7, 618-622 (2011)

R ~ 2.8 Å, α independent

$$D \begin{cases} 1.60 \pm 0.03\ 10^{-10}\ m^2/s & \alpha = 0 \\ 2.58 \pm 0.03\ 10^{-10}\ m^2/s & \alpha = 1 \\ 3.11 \pm 0.03\ 10^{-10}\ m^2/s & \alpha = 2 \end{cases}$$



Localized motion increases as amines are charged!

Reference Materials - 1

- Reference Books
  - Quasielastic Neutron Scattering, M. Bee (Bristol, Adam Hilger, 1988).
  - Methods of X-Ray and Neutron Scattering in Polymer Science, R. –J. Roe (New York, Oxford University Press, 2000).
  - Quasielastic Neutron Scattering and Solid State Diffusion, R. Hempelmann (2000).
  - Quasielastic Neutron Scattering for the Investigation of Diffusive Motions in Solids and Liquids, Springer Tracts in Modern Physics, T. Springer (Berlin, Springer 1972).

Reference Materials - 2

- Classic Papers
  - L. Van Hove
    - Phys. Rev. 95, 249 (1954)
    - Phys. Rev. 95, 1374 (1954)
  - V. F. Sears
    - Canadian J. Phys. 44, 867 (1966)
    - Canadian J. Phys. 44, 1279 (1966)
    - Canadian J. Phys. 44, 1299 (1966)
  - G. H. Vineyard
    - Phys. Rev. 110, 999 (1958)
  - S. Chandrasekhar
    - "Stochastic Problems in Physics and Astronomy", Rev. Mod. Phys. 15, 1 (1943) (not really QNS but great reference on diffusion models)
- Data Analysis – DAVE – NIST Center for Neutron Research http://www.ncnr.nist.gov/dave/

SUMMARY

- QENS is an excellent technique to measure diffusive dynamics
  - Length scales/geometry accessible through Q-dependence
  - Many analytic models form a framework for comparison
  - Large range of time scales (sub-picosecond < t < nanosecond (μsec for NSE)
  - H-atom sensitivity
- Instrument selection is a critical decision – the resolution must match the time scale of the expected motion
- World-class instrumentation is currently available in the U.S.
- Natural connection to theory (Molecular Dynamics Simulations)
- Software – DAVE at the NCNR at NIST – available from the NCNR Web site
  - Need much closer coupling to theoretical modeling, especially molecular dynamics simulations – coherent QNS